

- Introduction Decide4AI
- Use Case examples:
 - Detailed necessity: Optimization of electric cable lay out of wind farms
 - Customer idea: Optimization of maintenance of windmill turbines
- Opportunity evaluation methodology

About Decide4AI



Specialist consultants in AI/DI since 2008:

Mathematical Optimization / Decision
Intelligence
Data Science

Madrid & Utrecht

Premier Gurobi Partner

= 0

150 employees



Arjen Heeres



Dani Herrero

Dir. Mathematical Optimization & Data Science

We Make AI / DI Work





Electrical Layout Design of an Offshore Wind Farm

Layout design of offshore wind power generation facilities



Problem description

Objective: To provide a simulation tool based on mathematical optimization to configure the layout of electrical connections and substations in wind farms.



Denmark offshore wind farm. Source: Vattenfall



decide 🗰

Problem to be solved: Design of connections and choice of cable types

Decisions





Problem to be solved: Design of connections and choice of cable types

Decisions

1. Positioning of substations



Problem to be solved: Design of connections and choice of cable types

Decisions

2. Establishing the optimal connections between turbines and select the type of cable for each connection



Problem to be solved: Design of connections and choice of cable types

Objectives

Minimizing total construction cost and electrical losses

- CAPEX: Installation costs, with volume discounts.
- OPEX: Losses associated with the transport of energy in each cable





Business impact

- Compared to the previous solution (which already used an optimization algorithm):
 - The CAPEX for the installation of the wind farms is reduced by 0,5%-1,5%
 - Reducing electrical losses by 10%-18,5% is achieved
- The design of the wind farm's the wind farm connections in 100% of the cases analyzed
- The algorithm is allowed to be left free to ideally position the substations
- The proposed algorithms are robust to the different characteristics and particularities of the parks
- Use of Gurobi to solve the problem. It takes advantage of the callback functionality to dynamically introduce constraints into the problem only when they are needed



decide

Predictive Maintenance of Wind Turbines

Advanced Analytics Projects



Implementation process

Use Case

衍

The aim of the project is to maximize plant availability by optimizing maintenance planning.

Plant / turbine condition

Maintenance strategies 01. RUL Estimation

Predictive Model

The predictive model will focus on predicting the failure risk curve for each of the components.



Different failure prediction methods exist, in order to optimize the timing of maintenance, survival models are used which ensure monotonically increasing risk curves.

02. Maintenance Optimization

Optimization Model

Once the RUL has been modelled with sufficient accuracy, we apply a mathematical optimization model based on MILP to determine the optimal time to carry out each maintenance, with the aim of maximizing the generation of the fleet at the lowest possible maintenance cost.



These algorithms make it possible to find the optimal point that maximizes generation taking into account the risk of failure and downtime costs, considering a global view of the systems and the fleet.

Maintenance optimization

Considerations

- The model selects the optimal maintenance day for each component, combining the risk of breakage with the expected generation according to the wind profile.
- The model allows to include preventive or imposed maintenance, taking them into account and adapting predictive maintenance accordingly when considered.
- The model allows to establish different working capacities depending on the day.
- This model has been designed on a daily basis but can be adapted to an hourly approach or less.
- Tests carried out with Gurobi allow problems of up to several million binary variables to be solved in a few minutes.

 $\max(\sum_{t,d} Generacion_{td} - \sum_{t,d} COSTEREP_{id} * x_{id})$ st:

 $\sum Generation_{td} \leq GENESPERADA_{td} * (1-min(1, \sum_{i \mid i \in T} x_{id}) \forall t, d$ $\sum Generation_{td} \leq GENESPERADA_{td} * (1 - \sum_{i \mid i \in T} PROBROT_{i,d} * (1 - y_{id})) \quad \forall t, d$ $y_{id\prime} = \sum_{d \mid d > d\prime} x_{id} \quad \forall i, d'$ $\sum x_{id} \le 1 \ \forall i$ $\sum x_{id} \le CAPACIDAD_{id} \quad \forall d$ $\sum_{t} Generation_{td} \geq \mathsf{PORCENTAJEMINIMO} * \sum_{td} GENERACIÓNESPERADA_{td}) \forall d$ $x_{id} = 1 \forall i, d \mid mantenimiento ya fijado$ $\sum x_{id} \ge N \quad \forall d$

















	Source	e Ma	ke	Image: Second state Image: Second stat <								
	Workforce planning											
STRATEGIC		Demand										
S&OP	Su	pply planning	Inventory	Distribution	planning							
MPS	Master pr	oduction scheduling	planning	Order promising								
SCHEDULING	Inbound logistics	Detailed schedu	ling	Outbound	nd logistics							



\odot	SPECIFIC NEED or OPTIMZATION SCAN	
Ch.	KPI & PROCESS ANALYSIS	
The Value Process	QUANTIFYING	
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	BUSINESS CASE	

Capacity plann	ning Al														
	06-02-2023		07-02-2023		08—023-2023		09—02-2023			10-02-2023					
SC		15%	40%	16%	17%	26%	37%	34%	23%	31%	38%	30%	22%	40%	34
Pushers	_	77%	55%	64%	82%	71%	75%	97%	95%	96%	96%	100%	93%	97%	97
НМ		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10
CM2 + CM3		100%	97%	98%	97%	99%	100%	97%	94%	90%	80%	91%	82%	85%	89
CM2 + CM3		100%	97%	98%	97%	99%	100%	97%	94%	90%	80%	91%	82%	85%	89
BatchFurnace	es	100%	63%	27%	41%	63%	67%	84%	88%	73%	77%	78%	73%	73%	78
SL2		100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	95%	97%	56%	60%	62%	53%	46%	44%	44



Just-In-Time (JIT) strategy to maintain **flexibility**



Sequencing

As-Soon-As-Possible (ASAP) strategy to maintain **productivity**





decide







DECIDE4AI

Advanced Analytics for Smart Decisions