## Sports Scheduling with Gurobi

Concept \& Use Case

GUROBI

OPTIMIZATION

## @GotSport

## Agenda

- A bit of background
- Optimization opportunities in sports
- Sports Scheduling Basics
- Mathematical Modelling approach
- Challenges
- Case Study: CBF



## @GotSport

- Leader in Youth Sports since 1996
- 4.2 M registered players in the USA
- Working with > 60 professional sports leagues across the globe
- Soccer, Rugby, Basketball, Volleyball, Cricket, Lacrosse,
- Handball, American Football, Hockey, etc.
- GotSport Pro
- GotSport
- GotSport Analytics
- Our 4th year as a Gurobi client
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## Sports

Scheduling Basics
The importance of a good schedule

## Sports Scheduling Basics

A seemingly simple problem...

- N-1 match days
- Every team plays each opponent once
- Task:
- Plan a matchup between each pair of teams and assign a match day to each matchup



## Sports Scheduling Basics

Subject to...

- One matchup per team, per weekend
- For each team, half of the matches (+/-1) should be played at home, and the other half away
- Etc.
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| A：B | B：C | C：D | D：E |  | E：F |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A：C | B：D | C：E | D：F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A：D | B：E | C：F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A：E | B：F |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | A | F | ： | c | 。 | $t$ |
| A：F |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | ： | A | F | c | 。 |
|  |  | ？ |  | c | 。 | t | A | s | F |
|  |  |  |  | － | c | F | E | A | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | E | в | c | 。 | F | A |
|  |  |  |  | F | A | － | \％ | E | c |

## Sports Scheduling Basics

A MIP formulation

$$
\min \sum_{i \in T} \sum_{j \in T \backslash\{i\}} \sum_{p \in P} c_{i, j, p} x_{i, j, p}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { s.t. } \sum_{p \in P}\left(x_{i, j, p}+x_{j, i, p}\right) & =1 & \forall i, j \in T, i<j \\
\sum_{j \in T \backslash\{i\}}\left(x_{i, j, p}+x_{j, i, p}\right) & =1 & \forall i \in T, p \in P \\
x_{i, j, p} & \in\{0,1\} & \forall i, j \in T, i \neq j, p \in P
\end{array}
$$

## Sports Scheduling Basics

Isn't this simple?

- Assuming, we have 20 teams....
- $20 \times 19 \times 19=7220$ binary variables
- $20 \times 19 \times 2=760$ constraints



## Sports Scheduling Basics

Yes, but...

- Huge number of possible solutions
- Not trivial at all to find these solutions by hand and finding a solution with certain additional characteristics is very hard
- NP-complete problem
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|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | F | B | C | D | E |
| B | E | A | F | C | D |
| C | D | E | A | B | F |
| D | C | F | E | A | B |
| E | B | C | D | F | A |
| F | A | D | B | E | C |


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | F | B | C | D | E |
| B | E | A | F | C | D |
| C | D | E | A | B | F |
| D | C | F | E | A | B |
| E | B | C | D | F | A |
| F | A | D | B | E | C |

Idea l: let's just change home opponent

- A plays 4 consecutive games at home
- C plays 3 consecutive games away



## Idea 2: let's swap round 2 and 3

- All of a sudden there are a lot of cases, where a team plays two consecutive home or two consecutive away matches


## Sports Scheduling Basics

Growing Complexity and Challenges

Conflicting Restrictions and Rules
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## Sports Scheduling Basics

## Advantages of MIP solvers

- Conflicting constraints can be found more easily
- It is easy to adjust constraints and add more rules, which could be difficult with other techniques
- Solution quality is consistently high
- Can be easily combined with other techniques,
- e.g. local search
- Cost efficient: faster development, short turnaround time



## Sports Scheduling Basics

Tricks to solve hard problems

- Decomposition of the problem:
- First assign H/A, then find a match assignment
- Break the problem into different parts (e.g. first solve the first 10 rounds, then the rest)
- Not always possible, Impact on feasibility needs to be analyzed carefully
- Large Neighborhood Search, Fix-And-Relax Heuristic
- Very powerful if an initial (near-)feasible solution can be found easily



# Case Study: CBF <br> A particularly hard optimization challenge 

## CBF Serie A

- 20 Teams
- Double Round Robin Tournament
- Relatively few team specific restrictions
- Strong focus on fairness
- Consecutive home/ away games (HH or AA) need to be minimized and balanced out across teams
- No tolerance for HHAHH or AAHAA sequences
- Spacing of matches against strong teams is very important


## CBF Serie A

- Broadcasting requests:
- Derbies (classicos) should be spread out as much as possible
- Some travel concerns
- Equal home balance for all teams across midweek dates
- Cross pairing constraints
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## Solving this...

- If this is modelled as a single model and passed to Gurobi, no solution would be found, even after 30 days
- A slightly better way to model it, is to relax some of the hard constraints
- For example, instead of strictly forbidding three consecutive Home games (HHH), it can be added as a soft constraint, with a high penalty
- This approach would find a solution after a few days, but it would still not find a high-quality solution after 30 days


## Clearly, this isn't the way to go

- Solutions need to be found faster (hours instead of weeks)
- It is essential for clients to review multiple solutions and not just present one final "most optimal solution"
- As with all optimization projects, it is hard to capture all requirements
- A client might prefer a solution with a "worse" score, because of some characteristics he didn't mention
- With multi-objective optimization problems, weighing the different objectives is always hard


## Decomposition approach

- Two approaches from literature (see: Dirk Briskorn):
- First schedule matchups, then decide on who is home for each matchup
- For each team and matchday, decide who plays home, then find matches that satisfy these
- additional restrictions
- ("First HAP, then schedule")


## First HAP, then schedule

- Not every HAP can be used to generate a feasible schedule (in fact, only a tiny fraction)
- What are the characteristics of a "feasible HAP"?
- Big challenge: how to find HAPs for which a corresponding schedule exists?
- Enumeration?
- MIP?
- Constraint programming?

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Closing Remarks \& Summary 
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## ঐGotSport

## Summary

- Optimization can make a big impact in Sports
- Reduce costs
- Use resources more efficiently
- Create a more exciting and competitive season
- Increase revenues of leagues and clubs


