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## LP and MIP



- Base problems that Gurobi solves
- Simplex and Barrier algorithms for LP
- Branch-and-cut for MIP


## Nonlinearities

| Application | Phenomenon | Nonlinearity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Finance | risk | quadratic (convex) |
| Truss topology | physical forces | quadratic (convex) |
| Pooling <br> (petrochemical, <br> mining, agriculture) | mixing products | quadratic non-convex |
| electricity <br> distribution <br> (ACOPF) | Alternative Current | sin and cos (can be <br> made quadratic) |
| machine learning | - | logistic function, tanh |
| chemical <br> engineering | chemical reactions | - |

- many more...


## The MINLP Goal

Ideally, we seek to solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min f(x) \\
& \text { s.t: } \\
& \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x}) \leq 0, \mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{j} \in \square \\
& \mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{f}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, reasonably smooth
- If l or u is not finite: undecidable in general
- Even assuming finiteness it's very difficult in theory and practice
- Note that integer variables are "not convex" and can be represented as a polynomial if bounded


## Convex or not convex?



## Convex Region

Any segment connecting two points inside the region is inside the region.


Non-Convex Region
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- When there is no more step global optimum
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## Nonlinearities in Gurobi

Convex

- Quadratic objective: $\min c^{T} x+x^{T} Q x$ with $Q \geq 0$
- Quadratic constraints: $a^{T} x+x^{T} Q x \leq b$ describing a convex region
- $\mathrm{Q} \geq 0$ is a simple case, can be more complex

Non-Convex

- Discrete objects: integer variables, SOS constraints
- Bilinear terms: $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- Non-Convex quadratic forms: $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Qx} \leq \mathrm{b}$
- General functions: exp, log, cos,
- Reformulated as PWL in Gurobi 9 and 10
- Treated directly
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## Problem definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Q}^{0} \mathrm{x} \\
& \text { s.t: } \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{j} \in \square \\
& \mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{Q}^{0}, \mathrm{Q}^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{m}}$ are assumed to be symmetric
- $\mathrm{Q}^{0}$ is positive semi definite
- The quadratic forms $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are second order cone representable.


## The second order cone


$\square^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{2} \leq x_{0}^{2}, x_{0} \geq 0\right\}$

Through simple algebra, can be represented as SOC:

- $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \leq \mathrm{x}_{0}^{2}$, with $\mathrm{x}_{0} \geq 0$
- $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \leq \mathrm{x}_{0} \mathrm{x}_{1}$, with $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1} \geq 0$ (rotated SOC)
- $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Qx} \leq \mathrm{b}$, with $\mathrm{Q} \geq 0$
- $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Qx} \leq \mathrm{y}^{2}$, with $\mathrm{Q} \geq 0, \mathrm{y} \geq 0$

Very powerful but modeling sometimes far from obvious.

Not all forms recognized by solvers
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## Note

## The basic branch-and-bound algorithm



At each node of the tree:


In MILP and MIQP continuous relaxation usually solved by simplex.

In MISOCP/MIQCP, continuous relaxation solved by barrier.

## The outer approximation cut



- Let $\mathrm{C}=\left\{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$, with g a convex function
- For any $\mathrm{x}^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$, the constraint:

$$
\nabla \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right) \leq 0
$$

is valid

- If $x^{*} \notin \mathrm{C}$, it cuts $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ :

$$
\nabla \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}-\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)>0
$$

## Outer approximation branch-and-cut



Drop quadratic constraints and solve an LP relaxation at each node. Integer feasible nodes are not necessarily solutions.


## Numerical difficulties

- OA branch-and-cut builds a cutting plane approximation of smooth functions
- It can happen that node solution:
- is integer feasible
- is not SOC feasible
- OA cuts are not cutting enough
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## New in Gurobi 11

Rely on barrier algorithm for those nodes (usually very few).

## Cone disaggregation and outer approximation

An exponential number of cutting planes is needed to approximate a convex quadratic form.

Cone disaggregation
From

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \leq x_{0}^{2}, x_{0} \geq 0
$$



- Create variables $y_{i} \geq 0$, such that $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \leq \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ (rotated SOC)
- Replace initial constraint with
$\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{X}_{0}$


## Pitfalls of disaggregation

$$
\text { (A) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \leq x_{0}^{2}, \\
x_{0} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\text { (B) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \leq x_{0} \\
x_{i}^{2} \leq y_{i} x_{0} \\
y \geq 0, x \geq 0
\end{array} \quad i=1, \ldots, n\right.
$$

- The reformulation is correct: every solution of (B) translates to (A)
- But a solution of (B) with a small infeasibility can have a large one in (A):
- Suppose $x_{0}=1, y_{i}=\frac{1}{n}$ and $x_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}+\epsilon}$ :
- Infeasibility in (B) is $\epsilon$
- Infeasibility in (A) is $\mathrm{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

Gurobi tries to deal with it but can be an issue.

## Options for MISOCP/MIQCQP

## (i) MIQCPMethod

- -1 Automatic choice (default)
- 0 Use QCP branch-and-bound
- 1 Use Outer Approximation


## (i) PreMIQCPForm

-     - 1 Automatic choice (default)
- 0 Leave the model as is (for $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{~B}$ )
- 1 Reformulate to SOC
- 2 Reformulate to SOC and disaggregate


## Example <br> Portfolio Optimization
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## Non-Convex MIQCQP

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min ^{T} x+x^{T} Q^{0} x \\
& \text { s.t: } \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{T} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{j} \in \square \\
& \mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{Q}^{0}, \mathrm{Q}^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{m}}$ are assumed to be symmetric
- Continuous relaxation is NP-hard!
- Solution strategy:
- Build a convex relaxation
- Refine it through branching.
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## NonConvex parameter in Gurobi

## NonConvex

- -1 automatic (default)
- 0 Return error if original model has non-convex Q objective or constraints
- 1 Return error if presolved model has non-convex $Q$ that cannot be linearized
- 2 Accept non-convex $Q$ by building a bilinear formulation

New in Gurobi 11

Default behavior change: New default 2 (was 1 )).

## Bilinear formulation

$$
\min \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\left\langle\mathrm{Q}^{0}, \mathrm{Z}\right\rangle
$$

- For each product $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in the model
- Introduce a new variable $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}$
s.t:
- Add the bilinear constraint $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\langle\mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{xx} \\
& \mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Replace product with $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}$

$$
\left(\langle\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Z}\rangle=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)
$$

## More details on bilinear formulation

- Try as much as possible to avoid creating bilinear terms:
- if one variable is fixed
- if one variable is binary (can be reformulated)
- square of binary $\mathrm{x}^{2}=\mathrm{x}$
- square term $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ii}} \mathrm{x}^{2}$ with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}>0$ is convex
- If $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ always appears in inequalities with $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ of same sign relax to:
- $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}} \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$, if $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}}>0$
- $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$, if $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ij}}<0$
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Warning

## Bilinear relaxation

- Relax non-convex constraint $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{xx}^{\mathrm{T}}$ using convex enveloppes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min c^{T} x+\left\langle\mathrm{Q}^{0}, \mathrm{Z}\right\rangle \\
& \text { s.t: } \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{x}+\left\langle\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{Z}\right\rangle \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}},} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \\
\mathrm{z}^{-}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq \mathrm{Z}^{+}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \\
\mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{u}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Convex envelopes: parabola

Consider the square case: $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}^{2}$

$\mathrm{z} \geq \mathrm{x}^{2}$

It is convex:

$$
z^{-}\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{2}
$$


$\mathrm{z} \leq \mathrm{x}^{2},-1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 1.5$
$\mathrm{Z}^{+}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is given by the secant:

$$
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ii}}^{+}=(\mathrm{u}+1) \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{l} \cdot \mathrm{u}
$$

Can be dealt with by OA.

## Convex envelopes: products (McCormick)



Lower enveloppe $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{-}=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
l_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+l_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{j}}-l_{\mathrm{i}} l_{\mathrm{j}} \\
u_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+u_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{array}\right\} \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}} \leq \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{+}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
l_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
u_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+l_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}-l_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Spatial branching

- Let ( $\mathrm{x}^{*}, \mathrm{z}^{*}$ ) be the solution of the bilinear relaxation
- If not integer feasible, can branch on an integer variable
- Otherwise:
- If $z_{i j}^{*}=x_{i}^{*} x_{j}^{*}$, for all bilinear term, we have a solution
- Otherwise refine our bilinear relaxation:

- Pick $x_{i}$ or $x_{j}$ s.t. $z_{i j}^{*} \neq X_{i}^{*} x_{j}^{*}$
- Create two child nodes with $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}$
- Refine bilinear relaxation in the two nodes


## Other techniques targeted at non-convex MIQCQP

- RLTCuts
- Reformulation Linearization Technique (Sherali and Adams, 1990)
- Multiply linear constraint by a variable, linearize resulting products
- BQPCuts
- Facets of the Binary Quadratic Polytope (Padberg 1989)
- Clique cuts from the paper
- SDPCuts
- Relax $Z=x^{T}$ to $Z \geq x^{T}$, and outer approximate resulting cone
- OBBT:
- Optimization based bound tightening
- Infer tighter bound on variables involved in products by LP.


## Non-convex MIQCQP performance history

## New in Gurobi 11

- Improved recognition of convexity
- Branching improvements
- Strong branching for bilinear terms
- Better choice for deciding to branch on an integer or bilinear



## Example solve

## Pooling problem from MINLPLIB

```
1 m.optimize()
Gurobi Optimizer version 11.0.0 build v11.0.0beta2 (mac64[x86] - macOS
13.6 22G120)
CPU model: Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-1038NG7 CPU @ 2.00GHz
Thread count: 4 physical cores, 8 logical processors, using up to 8
threads
Optimize a model with 662 rows, 403 columns and 2229 nonzeros
Model fingerprint: 0x883de6ff
Model has 70 quadratic constraints
Variable types: 295 continuous, 108 integer (108 binary)
Coefficient statistics:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Matrix range & {\([2 e-03,1 e+03]\)} \\
QMatrix range & {\([1 e+00,1 e+00]\)} \\
חт.Ma+riv ranco & r \(1 \Delta+\cap \cap 1 \Delta+n \cap 1\)
\end{tabular}
```
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## Function constraints in Gurobi

Since Gurobi 9.0. Allow to state $y=f(x)$

- $f$ is a predefined function
- $y$ and $x$ are one-dimensional variables

Library of predefined functions include:

- $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}, \ln (\mathrm{x}), \log _{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})$, logistic
- $\sin (\mathrm{x}), \cos (\mathrm{x}), \tan (\mathrm{x})$,
- monomials $x^{a}$, polynomials of one variable $a_{0}+a_{1} x^{1}+a_{2} x^{2}+\ldots$


## Function constraints in Gurobi

Since Gurobi 9.0. Allow to state $y=f(x)$

- $f$ is a predefined function
- $y$ and $x$ are one-dimensional variables

Library of predefined functions include:

- $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{x}}, \ln (\mathrm{x}), \log _{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{x})$, logistic
- $\sin (\mathrm{x}), \cos (\mathrm{x}), \tan (\mathrm{x})$,
- monomials $x^{a}$, polynomials of one variable $a_{0}+a_{1} x^{1}+a_{2} x^{2}+\ldots$

Example:

```
1 m = gp.Model()
2 x = m.addVar()
3 y = m.addVar()
4 m.addGenConstrLog(x, y)
```


## New treatment in Gurobi 11

- Gurobi 9.0-10.0: nonlinear functions replaced during presolve by a piecewise linear approximation.
- Gurobi 11, can treat nonlinear functions directly:
- Set FuncNonLinear=1
- No other changes to users' code



## Algorithmic approach

- Similar to bilinear formulation/relaxation
- For each function, compute lower/upper envelope
- Spatial branching to refine them
- Additional difficulties:
- detect when functions are convex/concave

- functions can be locally convex


## Great powers and great responsabilities

- Gurobi 11.0 handles select univariate nonlinear functions
- But, those can be composed
E.g.: Consider for $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$ :

$$
f(x)=\sqrt{1+x^{2}}+\ln \left(x+\sqrt{1+x^{2}}\right) \leq 2
$$

We can formulate it:

- introduce auxiliary variables $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z} \geq 0$
- add constraints $\mathrm{u}=1+\mathrm{x}^{2}, \mathrm{v}=\sqrt{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{z}=\ln (\mathrm{w})$
- $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{z}$


## A. Caution

Feasibility tolerances!

## Decomposition leading to large infeasibility

$$
y=f(x)=\frac{x}{\sin (x)}
$$

a solution is $\mathrm{x}=0.0001, \mathrm{y}=1.0000000016666666$.
Now decompose $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{u}=\sin (\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{v}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{u}}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x} \cdot \mathrm{v}$.
And consider:

- $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=0.0001$
- $\overline{\mathrm{u}}=0.000098999999833333343\left(\sin \left(\overline{\mathrm{x})}-10^{-} 6\right)\right.$
- $\overline{\mathrm{v}}=10101.010118015167$
- $\overline{\mathrm{y}}=1.0101010118015167$

We have $\bar{y}-f(\bar{x}) \cong 10^{-} 2$

## Example <br> Logistic Regression



## Thank You!

For more information: www.gurobi.com


